



Supplementary Table 2. Forest Plots and Doi Plots of Pooled Prevalence



Supplementary Table 2. (Continued)



Supplementary Table 2. (Continued)

Bullying	Bullying types	Forest plots	Doi plots																		
	(3) Verbal perpetration	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Study</th> <th>Prev (95% CI)</th> <th>% Weight</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Campbell et al., 2017</td> <td>0.15 (0.09, 0.23)</td> <td>34.7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hwang et al., 2018</td> <td>0.52 (0.42, 0.63)</td> <td>34.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Kloosterman et al., 2013</td> <td>0.17 (0.04, 0.35)</td> <td>30.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overall</td> <td>0.26 (0.04, 0.56)</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Study	Prev (95% CI)	% Weight	Campbell et al., 2017	0.15 (0.09, 0.23)	34.7	Hwang et al., 2018	0.52 (0.42, 0.63)	34.4	Kloosterman et al., 2013	0.17 (0.04, 0.35)	30.8	Overall	0.26 (0.04, 0.56)	100.0	<p>LFK index: 1.29 (Minor asymmetry)</p>			
Study	Prev (95% CI)	% Weight																			
Campbell et al., 2017	0.15 (0.09, 0.23)	34.7																			
Hwang et al., 2018	0.52 (0.42, 0.63)	34.4																			
Kloosterman et al., 2013	0.17 (0.04, 0.35)	30.8																			
Overall	0.26 (0.04, 0.56)	100.0																			
Perpetration	(4) Relational perpetration	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Study</th> <th>Prev (95% CI)</th> <th>% Weight</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Campbell et al., 2017</td> <td>0.04 (0.01, 0.09)</td> <td>35.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Kloosterman et al., 2013</td> <td>0.13 (0.02, 0.29)</td> <td>29.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Rose et al., 2015b</td> <td>0.27 (0.17, 0.39)</td> <td>34.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overall</td> <td>0.12 (0.00, 0.32)</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Study	Prev (95% CI)	% Weight	Campbell et al., 2017	0.04 (0.01, 0.09)	35.6	Kloosterman et al., 2013	0.13 (0.02, 0.29)	29.9	Rose et al., 2015b	0.27 (0.17, 0.39)	34.5	Overall	0.12 (0.00, 0.32)	100.0	<p>LFK index: 0.46 (No asymmetry)</p>			
Study	Prev (95% CI)	% Weight																			
Campbell et al., 2017	0.04 (0.01, 0.09)	35.6																			
Kloosterman et al., 2013	0.13 (0.02, 0.29)	29.9																			
Rose et al., 2015b	0.27 (0.17, 0.39)	34.5																			
Overall	0.12 (0.00, 0.32)	100.0																			
Perpetration-victimization	(1) General perpetration- victimization	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Study</th> <th>Prev (95% CI)</th> <th>% Weight</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Campbell et al., 2017</td> <td>0.17 (0.11, 0.25)</td> <td>24.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Chen & Schwartz, 2012</td> <td>0.52 (0.32, 0.71)</td> <td>14.9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sterzing et al., 2012</td> <td>0.09 (0.07, 0.11)</td> <td>30.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Zablotsky et al., 2013</td> <td>0.06 (0.04, 0.07)</td> <td>30.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overall</td> <td>0.14 (0.08, 0.22)</td> <td>100.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Study	Prev (95% CI)	% Weight	Campbell et al., 2017	0.17 (0.11, 0.25)	24.6	Chen & Schwartz, 2012	0.52 (0.32, 0.71)	14.9	Sterzing et al., 2012	0.09 (0.07, 0.11)	30.2	Zablotsky et al., 2013	0.06 (0.04, 0.07)	30.4	Overall	0.14 (0.08, 0.22)	100.0	<p>LFK index: 4.68 (Major asymmetry)</p>
Study	Prev (95% CI)	% Weight																			
Campbell et al., 2017	0.17 (0.11, 0.25)	24.6																			
Chen & Schwartz, 2012	0.52 (0.32, 0.71)	14.9																			
Sterzing et al., 2012	0.09 (0.07, 0.11)	30.2																			
Zablotsky et al., 2013	0.06 (0.04, 0.07)	30.4																			
Overall	0.14 (0.08, 0.22)	100.0																			